arXiv:1002.3721v2 [math.CA] 31 May 2010

THE CAUCHY FUNCTIONAL EQUATION AS AN INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM, HOMOMORPHISMS, AND TORI

DANIEL REEM

Abstract. The Cauchy functional equation $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$ has been investigated by many authors, under various "regularity" conditions. We present a new method for solving this equation assuming only that a complex exponent of the unknown function is locally measurable. A key idea is to consider the equation as an initial value problem. The (rather simple) proof can be generalized, e.g., to a more abstract setting. As a by-product of this approach we prove the following theorem: given an additive homomorphism from a finite dimensional flat torus to the real line, if a complex exponent of it is measurable, then the homomorphism must vanish identically.

1. Introduction

The Cauchy functional equation

$$f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y), \tag{1}$$

has been investigated by many authors, under various "regularity" conditions, each of them implies, in the particular case where $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$, that $f(x)=cx$ for some $c\in\mathbb{R}$. For instance, Cauchy [8] assumed that f is continuous, Darboux [11] has observed that continuity at one point suffices, Kac [19] assumed that f is integrable on a given interval, Fréchet [12], Banach [5], Sierpiński [28, 29] and Alexiewicz-Orlicz [3] assumed that f is measurable, Kestelman [20] assumed that f is bounded on a measurable set of positive measure, Kormes [21] and Ostrowski [25] assumed that f is bounded from one side on a measurable set of positive measure, and Mehdi [22] assumed that f is bounded from above on a second category Baire set. On the other hand, Hamel [14] investigated (1) without any further condition of f, and by using the Hamel basis, which was introduced for this purpose, he showed that there are nonlinear solutions to (1), and he found all of them.

The functional equation (1) has been generalized to many other settings. A common path of generalization is to take the domain and range of f to be groups of a certain kind, e.g., (locally compact) Polish groups, and to prove that if f satisfies a certain kind of measurability assumption (say Baire, Haar, or Christensen), and maybe some other assumptions, then it must be continuous. See, among others, Banach [6, p. 23], Pettis [26], Hewitt-Ross [15, p. 346], Monroe [23], Itzkowitz [16], Baker [4], Christensen [9], Gajda [13], Neeb [24], Brzdek [7], and Rosendal [27]. In some of these generalizations the form of the functional equation is more general than (1). Another path of generalization is to impose assumptions different from

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22B99, 26A99, 26B99, 39B22, 43A22.

measurability, e.g., algebraic ones. See the book of Dales [10] for an extensive discussion of this issue. Additional information related to (1) can be found, for instance, in the books of Aczél [1], Aczél-Dhombres [2], Járai [17], and the survey of Wilansky [30].

In this paper we consider (1) in the case where $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and X is either $\mathbb{R}^n$ or a finite dimensional flat torus, under the condition that e^{if} is (locally) measurable. In the first case we show that $f(x) = c \cdot x$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and in the second case we show that f must vanish identically. Although (1) has been extensively investigated over the years, it seems that new light can be shed on this equation, and to the best of our knowledge the methods and results presented here are novel. Our (first) result obviously generalizes the result of Fréchet, and it seems that neither the various results mentioned above about the automatic continuity of measurable homomorphisms nor some well known theorems about the character group of $\mathbb{R}^n$ [15, pp. 366-8] help much in obtaining our result, since even if $e^{if(x)}$ is a continuous (multiplicative) homomorphism which actually equals $e^{ic_0 \cdot x}$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, in accordance with the theorems mentioned above, f itself need not be measurable. Indeed, simply take $n = 1$, A_k to be a non-measurable set of $[k, k+1)$, k integer, and $f(x) = 2\pi k$ if $x \in A_k$, and $f(x) = -2\pi(k+1)$ if $x \in [k, k+1)\backslash A_k$.

Our method is quite simple. However, it is even simpler under the weaker assumption that f is (locally) integrable. Therefore we first illustrate it in this case. Two key ideas involved in the method are to consider the equation as an initial value problem and to work with periodic functions. After treating the cases mentioned in the previous paragraph we explain how in principle the proof and the results can be generalized to a setting in which the regularity condition is abstract. By totally removing the regularity condition, our approach allows us to show that the wild nonlinear functions satisfying (1) introduced by Hamel can be even wilder than expected.

2. The local integrability condition

We first consider the special case where $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and it is integrable on some compact interval I. The proof in this case is quite short, and it illustrates some of the techniques which will be used later. We use the following well-known lemma, the proof of which follows by a simple application of the additivity of the integral and the change of variables formula (for translations).

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be a compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and let $T > 0$ be its length. Suppose that $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is periodic with period T. If u is integrable on I, then $u_y(x) = u(x+y)$ is integrable on I for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\int_I u(x+y)dx = \int_I u(x)dx$.*

Theorem 2.2. *If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies* (1) *and is integrable on some compact interval I, then there exists some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = cx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $T > 0$ be the length of I, and consider the initial value problem

$$g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2a}$$

$$g(T) = f(T). \tag{2b}$$

One solution to (2) is $g_1(x) = cx$ for $c = f(T)/T$, and another one is $g_2 = f$. It suffices to show that $g_1 = g_2$, and because the problem is linear it is sufficient to show that the following initial value problem

$$g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3a}$$

$$g(T) = 0, \tag{3b}$$

has the unique solution $g \equiv 0$, where g is integrable on I. By substituting $y = T$ in (4a) we see that $g(x+T) = g(x) + 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., g is periodic with period T. Now, by fixing $y \in \mathbb{R}$ in (4a) and taking the integral on I with respect to x we see that

$$\int_I g(x+y)dx = \int_I g(x)dx + g(y) \int_I dx.$$

But $\int_I g(x+y)dx = \int_I g(x)dx$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence $g(y)T = 0$ for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $g \equiv 0$. ☐

3. The case where e^{if} is locally measurable, $\mathbb{R}^n$

Now we use the following simple generalization of Lemma 2.1

Lemma 3.1. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a given compact parallelepiped generated by some basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$. Suppose that $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $h(x + u_k) = h(x)\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. If h is integrable on I, then $h_y(x) = h(x+y)$ is integrable on I for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\int_I h(x+y)dx = \int_I h(x)dx$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a given compact parallelepiped generated by some basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$. Suppose that $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $g(x + u_k) = g(x)\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ and that e^{ig} is (Lebesgue) measurable on I. If g satisfies* (1), *then $g \equiv 0$.*

Proof. By the periodicity of g it follows that e^{ig} is measurable on any compact parallelepiped obtained from I by translations or scalings. Since by (1) and by induction $g(\alpha x) = \alpha g(x)$ for any rational α, the change of variables formula (for scaling) shows that $e^{i\alpha g}$ is measurable on I, and, obviously, it is in $L_1(I)$.

Let $\alpha > 0$ be some rational such that $\int_I e^{i\alpha g(x)}dx \neq 0$. It will be shown in a moment that such an α does exist. By (1) we have $e^{i\alpha g(x+y)} = e^{i\alpha g(x)}e^{i\alpha g(y)}$. Hence $\int_I e^{i\alpha g(x+y)}dx = (\int_I e^{i\alpha g(x)}dx)e^{i\alpha g(y)}$, and by using the periodicity of the function $h(x) = e^{i\alpha g(x)}$, Lemma 3.1, and $\int_I e^{i\alpha g(x)}dx \neq 0$, we conclude that $1 = e^{i\alpha g(y)}$ for all y. Therefore $\alpha g(y) \in \{2\pi k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Assume to the contrary that $2\pi k_0 = \alpha g(y_0) \neq 0$ for some y_0. Since $g(qy_0) = qg(y_0)$ for each rational q, we have $\alpha g(y_0/(7k_0)) = 2\pi/7$, a contradiction. Consequently, $g \equiv 0$.

It remains to prove that $\int_I e^{i\alpha g(x)}dx \neq 0$ for some (small enough) positive rational α. If this is not true, then $\int_I e^{i\alpha g(x)}dx = 0$ for all (small enough) positive rational α. As a result

$$0 = \int_I e^{i\alpha g(x)}dx = \int_{\alpha I} e^{ig(w)}dw/\alpha^n = \int_{\alpha I} e^{ig(w)}dw$$

for each (small enough) positive rational α by the change of variables formula for scaling. Since $e^{ig(w+u_k)} = e^{ig(w)}$ for any $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\int_S e^{ig(w)}dw = 0$ for any parallelepiped S obtained from I by translations or scalings by (small enough) rational numbers. It is now a standard exercise to show that $\int_S e^{ig(w)}dw = 0$ for any measurable set S with positive measure, and from this that $e^{ig(w)} = 0$ almost everywhere (using, for instance, the dominated convergence theorem and the regularity of the measure). But $|e^{ig(w)}| = 1$ (almost) everywhere, a contradiction. □

Theorem 3.3. *If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies* (1) *and e^{if} is measurable on some compact parallelepiped I, then there exists some $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x) = c \cdot x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be obtained from the linear system $c \cdot u_k = f(u_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, from the linearity of the problem it is sufficient to show that the following initial value problem

$$g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4a}$$

$$g(u_k) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \tag{4b}$$

has the unique solution $g \equiv 0$. This is a simple consequence of Lemma 3.2. □

4. The case where e^{if} is measurable, flat torus

Now we need the following corresponding version of Lemma 3.1, the proof of which is a simple consequence of the invariance of the Haar measure to translations and the density of the simple functions in L_1.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathbb{T}^n$ be the n-dimensional flat torus. Given any $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $h : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, if $h \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} h(x+y)d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} h(x)d\mu(x)$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Given an additive homomorphism f from a finite dimensional flat torus to $\mathbb{R}$, if e^{if} is (Haar) measurable, then $f \equiv 0$.*

Proof. The proof is almost word by word as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. Here we use the periodicity of f with respect to the basis which generates the torus, and Lemma 4.1 instead of Lemma 3.1. We note that we need the change of variables formula for scaling only for small enough positive rational α, and in this case this formula is true for the flat torus. □

5. Remarks

1. Higher dimensions: Given some $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying (1), if $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and e^{if_k} is measurable for each $k = 1, \dots, m$, then $f(x) = Ax$ for some m by n matrix A. This is a simple consequence of Theorem 3.3, because $f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (1) for each k.

2. An abstract regularity condition: An examination of the proof of Theorem 3.3 suggests that the regularity condition can be further generalized. Let A be a set of real functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let B be a set of complex functions containing $\{e^{ig} : g \in A\}$. Suppose that for A, B and for some $F : B \to \mathbb{C}$ we have:

(a) If $|c|=1$, $c\in\mathbb{C}$ and $h\in B$, then $ch\in B$ and $F(ch)=cF(h)$;
(b) The functions $x\mapsto c\cdot x$ are in A for all $c\in\mathbb{R}^n$;
(c) A is closed under addition and multiplication by positive rationals;
(d) There exist $u_k\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $k=1,\dots,n$ such that if $g\in A$ satisfies $g(x+u_k)=g(x)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $k=1,\dots,n$, then $g_y\in A$ and $F(e^{ig_y})=F(e^{ig})$ for each y, where $g_y(x)=g(x+y)$;
(e) For each $g\in A$ there exists some rational $\alpha>0$ such that $F(e^{i\alpha g})\neq 0$.

These assumptions imply that if $f\in A$, then $f(x)=c\cdot x$ for some $c\in\mathbb{R}^n$: we simply take complex exponent in (4a) and apply F instead of applying $G(u)=\int_I u(x)dx$ as done there. It is interesting to find $A\neq\{f: e^{if}$ is measurable$\}$ and $F(u)\neq\int_I u(x)dx$ (or minor modifications of them). We can also replace F by a family of functions $F_j: B\to\mathbb{C}$. Anyway, because there are nonlinear solutions to (1), these solutions cannot belong to A. Thus no matter what A and F are, A cannot be the set of all real functions. A corresponding modification of the above is true for the flat torus. In principle, the above may hold also in several infinite dimensional spaces, but in the latter case the problem is to find at least one example for A, B and F.

3. Wild functions: The nonlinear solutions of (1) are examples of wild functions, not only because they are not measurable, but, for instance, because their graphs are dense in $\mathbb{R}^2$ [2, p. 14],[14],[30]. (But see, e.g., [18, 30] for "nice" properties that some of them satisfy.) The proof of Theorem 2.2 suggests an idea for creating even wilder functions. Indeed, let $\{x_i : i\in\Gamma\}$ be a Hamel basis, that is, basis of $\mathbb{R}$ as a vector space over the field of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$. The general solution $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ to (1) is obtained from setting $f(x_i):=y_i$ for some $y_i\in\mathbb{R}$, and extending it for all $y=\sum_{k=1}^m q_k x_{i_k}\in\mathbb{R}$ by $f(y)=\sum_{k=1}^m q_k y_{i_k}$. Since the Hamel basis is uncountable, if we fix a countable set J of basis elements (or just one element), let $f(x_j)\neq 0$ for all $j\in J$, and let $f(x_i)=0$ for the other basis elements x_i, $i\notin J$, then we obtain a non-constant function f which has an uncountable set of linearly independent (over $\mathbb{Q}$) periods. It is interesting whether such functions can be obtained by other methods.

Acknowledgement

I thank Orr Shalit for making me aware of certain problems in a previous version of this paper.

References

1. J. Aczél, *Lectures on functional equations and their applications*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1966.
2. J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional equations in several variables*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
3. A. Alexiewicz and W. Orlicz, *Remarque sur l'équation fonctionelle* $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$, Fund. Math. **33** (1945), 314–315.
4. J. A. Baker, *Regularity properties of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **6** (1971), 243–248.
5. S. Banach, *Sur l'équation fonctionnelle* $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$, Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 123–124.

6. ______, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Monographie Mat. PWN, Warsaw, 1932.
7. J. Brzdek, *Continuity of measurable homomorphisms*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **78** (2008), 171–176.
8. A. L. Cauchy, *Cours d'analyse de l'Ecole Polytechnique, Volume 1: Analyse algébrique*, Paris, 1821.
9. J. P. R. Christensen, *Borel structures in groups and semigroups*, Math. Scand. **28** (1971), 124–128.
10. H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Oxford University Press, USA, 2001.
11. G. Darboux, *Sur la composition des forces en statique*, Bull. Sci. Math **(1) 9** (1875), 281–288.
12. M. Fréchet, *Pri la funkcia ekvacio* $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$, Enseignement Mathematics **15** (1913), 390–393.
13. Z. Gajda, *Christensen measurable solutions of generalized Cauchy functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **31** (1986), no. 2-3, 147–158.
14. G. Hamel, *Eine Basis aller Zahlen und die unstetigen Lösungen der Funktionalgleichung* $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$, Math. Ann **60** (1905), 459–462.
15. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I*, Academic Press Inc., Publishers, New York, 1963.
16. G. L. Itzkowitz, *Measurability and continuity for a functional equation on a topological group*, Aequationes Math. **7** (1971), 194–198.
17. A. Járai, *Regularity properties of functional equations in several variables*, Advances in Mathematics (Springer), vol. 8, Springer, New York, 2005.
18. F. B. Jones, *Connected and disconnected plane sets and the functional equation* $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942), 115–120.
19. M. Kac, *Une remarque sur les équations fonctionnelles*, Comment. Math. Helv. **9** (1937), 170–171.
20. H. Kestelman, *On the functional equation* $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$, Fund. Math. **34** (1947), 144–147.
21. M. Kormes, *On the functional equation* $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1926), 689–693.
22. M. R. Mehdi, *On convex functions*, J. London. Math. Soc **39** (1964), 321–326.
23. I. Monroe, *On the generalized Cauchy equation*, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 1314–1318.
24. K.-H. Neeb, *On a theorem of S. Banach*, Journal of Lie Theory **8** (1997), 293–300.
25. A. Ostrowski, *Über die Funktionalalgleichung der Exponentialfunktion und verwandte Funktionalgleichungen*, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein **38** (1929), 54–62.
26. B. J. Pettis, *On continuity and openness of homomorphisms in topological groups*, Ann. of Math. **52** (1950), 293–308.
27. C. Rosendal, *Automatic continuity of group homomorphisms*, Bull. Symbolic Logic **15** (2009), no. 2, 184–214.
28. W. Sierpiński, *Sur l'équation fonctionnelle* $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$, Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 116–122.
29. ______, *Sur les functions convexes measurable*, Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 125–129.
30. A. Wilansky, *Additive functions*, Lectures on calculus, ed. by K. May, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1967, 97-124.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE TECHNION - ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 32000 HAIFA, ISRAEL

E-mail address: `dream@tx.technion.ac.il`